

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,978	02/05/2004	Michael A. Mayo	1754D2	5220	
24959 75	590 08/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PPG INDUST	RIES INC	GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F		
	AL PROPERTY DEPT		ADTIBUT	DA DED MUADED	
ONE PPG PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURGH	, PA 15272	1711			

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		$\sim$		
Č		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/772,978	MAYO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rachel F. Gorr	1711		
The MAILING DAT	TE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified a  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period v extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO g date of this communication, even if timely	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 24 Ju	une 2005.			
2a) This action is FINA	<b>AL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) ☐ Since this applicat	ion is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordar	nce with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>40,45,47-</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/	-81 is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119				
a) All b) Some  1. Certified cop  2. Certified cop  3. Copies of the application f	* c) None of:  Dies of the priority documents  Dies of the priority documents  e certified copies of the prior  from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (F2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper,No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/772,978

Art Unit: 1711

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 40 and 45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,716,953. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the composition of matter of the present amended claims overlaps in scope with the reaction product of the patented claims.

Claims 47-81 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-39 of copending Application No. 10/772,907. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the curable composition of matter comprising the structure of the amended claims overlaps in scope with the copending claims directed to a curable composition comprising the reaction product which would have the same structure.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,978

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. August 12, 2005

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER